



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/039,622 | 12/31/2001 | Dennis W. Vance | 18590-06192 | 2497 |
| 758 7 | 7590 02/25/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER | | | PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L | |
| 801 CALIFORNIA STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MOUNTAIN | VIEW, CA 94041 | | 2872 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/039,622 | VANCE ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Joshua L Pritchett | 2872 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the mailing of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S | See MPEP | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or t ould be rejected is provided bel | o) will be entered ow or appended. | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | - | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 41. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,7-10,13,14,17-19,25,32,34,39,40 and 42-49. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app | proved or b) \square disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitation of the material used to create the second layer would require an additional search.

DREW A. DUNN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER